

ON THE CURVATURE OF CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

VAN ABEL

ABSTRACT. In this article, we mainly discussed the relations of curvature tensors of a Riemannian metric under a conformal transformation.

1. MAIN RESULTS

Suppose (M, g) is a n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Consider a conformal transformation of a Riemannian structure

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = e^{2\rho} g_{ij}.$$

Then the connection coefficients $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^l$ corresponding to \bar{g}_{ij} will be

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^l &= \Gamma_{ij}^l + \rho_j \delta_i^l + \rho_i \delta_j^l - \rho_k g^{lk} g_{ij} \\ (1) \quad &= \Gamma_{ij}^l + \rho_j \delta_i^l + \rho_i \delta_j^l - \rho^l g_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho_i = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial u^i}, \quad \rho^l = g^{lk} \rho_k.$$

From (1), we have

$$(2) \quad \bar{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{m}_j^i{}_{kl} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{m}_j^i{}_{kl} - \rho_{jk} \delta_l^i + \rho_{jl} \delta_k^i - g_{jk} \rho_l^i + g_{jl} \rho_k^i,$$

where

$$\rho_{jk} = \rho_{j,k} - \rho_j \rho_k + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \rho_\alpha \rho_\beta g_{jk}.$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad \bar{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{c}_{jk} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{c}_{jk} - (n-2)\rho_{jk} - g_{jk} \rho_\alpha^\alpha,$$

and

$$(4) \quad \bar{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{s} = e^{-2\rho} (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{s} - 2(n-1)\rho_\alpha^\alpha).$$

Here $\bar{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{m}_j^i{}_{kl}$, $\bar{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{c}_{jk}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{s}$ denote the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature, respectively, of the new structure. This is the preliminary of H. Yamabe's thesis [Yam60].

2. THE PROOFS

We know there exist a unique torsion-free and metric compatible connection, ie. the Riemannian connection, on a Riemannian manifold, and the connection coefficients Γ_{ikj} can be expressed exactly by the metric coefficients g_{ij} as

$$\Gamma_{ikj} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial u^j} + \frac{\partial g_{kj}}{\partial u^i} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^k} \right\}.$$

Since $\bar{g}_{ij} = e^{2\rho} g_{ij}$, thus

$$\bar{g}^{ik} = e^{-2\rho} g^{ik}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{ik}}{\partial u^j} = e^{2\rho} \left(2\rho_j g_{ik} + \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial u^j} \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{ikj} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{ik}}{\partial u^j} + \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{kj}}{\partial u^i} - \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{ij}}{\partial u^k} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2\rho} \left\{ 2\rho_j g_{ik} + 2\rho_i g_{kj} - 2\rho_k g_{ij} + \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial u^j} + \frac{\partial g_{kj}}{\partial u^i} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^k} \right\} \\ &= e^{2\rho} \{ \Gamma_{ikj} + \rho_j g_{ik} + \rho_i g_{kj} - \rho_k g_{ij} \}, \end{aligned}$$

As $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^l = \bar{g}^{lk} \bar{\Gamma}_{ikj}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^l &= g^{lk} \{ \Gamma_{ikj} + \rho_j g_{ik} + \rho_i g_{kj} - \rho_k g_{ij} \} \\ &= \Gamma_{ij}^l + \rho_j \delta_i^l + \rho_i \delta_j^l - \rho_k g^{lk} g_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

thus, we have obtained (1).

Define $R(X, Y): \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]} \} Z \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, \end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$, and set $\mathbf{Rm}_i^l{}_{jk}$ be the component of R , ie.,

$$R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = \mathbf{Rm}_i^l{}_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l},$$

and define

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle,$$

then the component of R will be

$$\mathbf{Rm}_{hijk} = g_{hl} \mathbf{Rm}_i^l{}_{jk} = \langle R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^h} \rangle.$$

the Einstein summation rule has been used. Note

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = \Gamma_{ji}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}, \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} \right] = 0,$$

we have

$$\mathbf{Rm}_i^l{}_{jk} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^l}{\partial u^j} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^l}{\partial u^k} + \Gamma_{ik}^h \Gamma_{hj}^l - \Gamma_{ij}^h \Gamma_{hk}^l.$$

In fact, just by the definition of $\mathbf{Rm}_{i^l jk}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Rm}_{i^l jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l} &= R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \\
 &= \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} - \nabla_{[\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}]} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \\
 &= \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \\
 &= \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}} \left(\Gamma_{ik}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l} \right) - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}} \left(\Gamma_{ij}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^l}{\partial u^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l} + \Gamma_{ik}^h \Gamma_{hj}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l} - \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^l}{\partial u^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l} + \Gamma_{ij}^h \Gamma_{hk}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l} \right) \\
 &= \left\{ \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^l}{\partial u^j} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^l}{\partial u^k} + \Gamma_{ik}^h \Gamma_{hj}^l - \Gamma_{ij}^h \Gamma_{hk}^l \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l},
 \end{aligned}$$

form which we can deduce the formula of $\mathbf{Rm}_{i^l jk}$.

In order to get the formula of \mathbf{Rm}_{hijk} , we shall note the following relation

$$g_{hl} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^l}{\partial u^j} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ihk}}{\partial u^j} - \Gamma_{ik}^l \frac{\partial g_{hl}}{\partial u^j} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ihk}}{\partial u^j} - \Gamma_{ik}^l (\Gamma_{hlj} + \Gamma_{lhj}).$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Rm}_{hijk} &= g_{hl} \mathbf{Rm}_{i^l jk} = g_{hl} \left\{ \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^l}{\partial u^j} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^l}{\partial u^k} + \Gamma_{ik}^p \Gamma_{pj}^l - \Gamma_{ij}^p \Gamma_{pk}^l \right\} \\
 &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ihk}}{\partial u^j} - \Gamma_{ik}^l (\Gamma_{hlj} + \Gamma_{lhj}) - \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{ihj}}{\partial u^k} - \Gamma_{ij}^l (\Gamma_{hlk} + \Gamma_{lhk}) \right) \\
 &\quad + \Gamma_{ik}^p \Gamma_{phj} - \Gamma_{ij}^p \Gamma_{phk} \\
 &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ihk}}{\partial u^j} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ihj}}{\partial u^k} + \Gamma_{ij}^l \Gamma_{hlk} - \Gamma_{ik}^l \Gamma_{hlj}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as Γ_{ihk} can be expressed by g_{ij} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Rm}_{hijk} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ihk}}{\partial u^j} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ihj}}{\partial u^k} + \Gamma_{ij}^l \Gamma_{hlk} - \Gamma_{ik}^l \Gamma_{hlj} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} \left\{ \frac{\partial g_{ih}}{\partial u^k} + \frac{\partial g_{hk}}{\partial u^i} - \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial u^h} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} \left\{ \frac{\partial g_{ih}}{\partial u^j} + \frac{\partial g_{hj}}{\partial u^i} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^h} \right\} \\
 &\quad + \Gamma_{ij}^l \Gamma_{hlk} - \Gamma_{ik}^l \Gamma_{hlj} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 g_{hk}}{\partial u^i \partial u^j} + \frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial u^h \partial u^k} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{ik}}{\partial u^h \partial u^j} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{hj}}{\partial u^i \partial u^k} \right\} + \Gamma_{ij}^l \Gamma_{hlk} - \Gamma_{ik}^l \Gamma_{hlj}
 \end{aligned}$$

All the above formulas are needed to calculate the Riemannian curvature tensor. Let's turn to the calculation of $\bar{\mathbf{Rm}}_{i^l jk}$, I have done it at the assistance of Mathematica.

First, define the following functions in Mathematica:

```

bgamma2[k_][i_, j_] := gamma2[k][i, j]
+ f[i] \[Delta][k, j] + f[j] \[Delta][k, i]
- fu[k] g[i, j];
Dbgamma2[k_][i_, j_][l_] := Dgamma2[k][i, j][l]
+ f[i, l] \[Delta][k, j] + f[j, l] \[Delta][k, i]
- fu[k][l] g[i, j] - fu[k] Dg[i, j][l];
bRm2[l_][i_, j_, k_][s_] := Dbgamma2[l][i, k][j]
- Dbgamma2[l][i, j][k]
+ bgamma2[s][i, k] bgamma2[l][s, j]
- bgamma2[s][i, j] bgamma2[l][s, k]

```

Then type `bRm2[l][i, j, k][s] // ExpandAll` in Mathematica, after a simple calculation we get[†]

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{m}_i^l{}_{jk} &= \mathbf{R}\mathbf{m}_i^l{}_{jk} - \rho_s \rho^s g_{ik} \delta_j^l + \rho_k \rho_i \delta_j^l - \rho_{ik} \delta_j^l + \rho_s \Gamma_{ik}^s \delta_j^l \\
&\quad + \rho_s \rho^s g_{ij} \delta_k^l - \rho_i \rho_j \delta_k^l + \rho_{ij} \delta_k^l - \rho_s \Gamma_{ij}^s \delta_k^l \\
&\quad + \rho_j \rho^l g_{ik} - \rho^s g_{ik} \Gamma_{sj}^l - g_{ik} \rho_j^l \\
&\quad - \rho_k \rho^l g_{ij} + \rho^s g_{ij} \Gamma_{sk}^l + g_{ij} \rho_k^l \\
&\quad + \rho^l \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^k} + \rho^l g_{sk} \Gamma_{ij}^s - \rho^l \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial u^j} - \rho^l g_{sj} \Gamma_{ik}^s.
\end{aligned}$$

The last four terms will be vannah, and note that, for ρ as a prue function, the corvariant derivative $\rho_{;i}$ and $\rho_{;ij}$ will be

$$\rho_{;i} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial u^i}, \quad \rho_{;ik} = \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial u^i \partial u^k} - \rho_k \Gamma_{ik}^k \stackrel{\text{def}^\ddagger}{=} \rho_{ik} - \rho_k \Gamma_{ik}^k,$$

then

$$\rho_s \Gamma_{ik}^s \delta_j^l = (\rho_{ik} - \rho_{;ik}) \delta_j^l,$$

ie.,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\rho_{;ik} \delta_j^l &= -\rho_{ik} \delta_j^l + \rho_s \Gamma_{ik}^s \delta_j^l, \\
\rho_{;ij} \delta_k^l &= \rho_{ij} \delta_k^l - \rho_s \Gamma_{ij}^s \delta_k^l,
\end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{m}_i^l{}_{jk} &= \mathbf{R}\mathbf{m}_i^l{}_{jk} - \rho_s \rho^s g_{ik} \delta_j^l + \rho_k \rho_i \delta_j^l - \rho_{;ik} \delta_j^l \\
&\quad + \rho_s \rho^s g_{ij} \delta_k^l - \rho_i \rho_j \delta_k^l + \rho_{;ij} \delta_k^l \\
&\quad - g_{ik} \left(\rho^s \Gamma_{sj}^l + \rho_j^l - \rho_j \rho^l \right) \\
&\quad + g_{ij} \left(\rho^s \Gamma_{sk}^l + \rho_k^l - \rho_k \rho^l \right).
\end{aligned}$$

[†]The output of Mathematica can be handled by a Macro of WinEdt to get the format of TeX.

[‡]It should be noted that there the definition of ρ_{ik} is different from the definition in [Yam60], see section 1.

Note

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_k^l &\stackrel{\text{def}\dagger}{=} \frac{\partial (g^{lh} \rho_h)}{\partial u^k} = \frac{\partial g^{lh}}{\partial u^k} \rho_h + g^{lh} \rho_{hk}, \\ \frac{\partial g^{hl}}{\partial u^k} &= g^{hs} g_{sp} \frac{\partial g^{pl}}{\partial u^k} = -g^{hs} g^{pl} \frac{\partial g_{sp}}{\partial u^k} \\ &= -g^{hs} g^{pl} (\Gamma_{spk} + \Gamma_{psk}) \\ &= -\left(g^{hs} \Gamma_{sk}^l + g^{pl} \Gamma_{pk}^h \right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho_{;hk} = \rho_{hk} - \rho_s \Gamma_{hk}^s,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^s \Gamma_{sk}^l &= -g^{lh} \rho_s \Gamma_{hk}^s + \rho^s \Gamma_{sk}^l + \rho_h g^{pl} \Gamma_{pk}^h \\ &= -g^{lh} \rho_s \Gamma_{hk}^s - \rho_h \frac{\partial g_{hl}}{\partial u^k} \\ &= g^{lh} (\rho_{;hk} - \rho_{hk}) - \rho_h \frac{\partial g_{hl}}{\partial u^k} \\ &= g^{lh} \rho_{;hk} - \rho_k^l,\end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned}g_{ij} (\rho^s \Gamma_{sk}^l + \rho_k^l) &= g_{ij} g^{lh} \rho_{;hk}, \\ g_{ij} (\rho^s \Gamma_{sk}^l + \rho_k^l - \rho_k \rho^l) &= g_{ij} g^{lh} (\rho_{;hk} - \rho_k \rho_h),\end{aligned}$$

similarly,

$$g_{ik} (\rho^s \Gamma_{sj}^l + \rho_j^l - \rho_j \rho^l) = g_{ik} g^{lh} (\rho_{;hj} - \rho_j \rho_h).$$

Finally, if we set $\rho_{,ij} = \rho_{;ij} - \rho_i \rho_j + \rho_s \rho^s g_{ij}$, then

$$(5) \quad \bar{\mathbf{Rm}}_i^l{}_{jk} = \mathbf{Rm}_i^l{}_{jk} + \delta_k^l \rho_{,ij} - \delta_j^l \rho_{,ik} + g_{ij} g^{lh} (\rho_{;hk} - \rho_k \rho_h) - g_{ik} g^{lh} (\rho_{;hj} - \rho_j \rho_h).$$

If we reset $\rho_{hk} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_{;hk} - \rho_h \rho_k + \frac{1}{2} \rho_s \rho^s g_{hk}$, and $\rho_k^l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g^{lh} \rho_{hk}$ as in the article [Yam60], then it's easy to check the following formula

$$(6) \quad \bar{\mathbf{Rm}}_i^l{}_{jk} = \mathbf{Rm}_i^l{}_{jk} + \rho_{ij} \delta_k^l - \rho_{ik} \delta_j^l + g_{ij} \rho_k^l - g_{ik} \rho_j^l.$$

Equation (6) and the corresponding formula in article [Yam60] is slightly different, mainly because we define the $\mathbf{Rm}_i^l{}_{jk}$ and \mathbf{Rm}_{ijkl} different, this definition assured that the unit sphere with curvature 1, see [Eis97].

Next, we will deduce the Ricci curvature and scalar curvature.

The Ricci curvature in the direction $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{Ric}(X, X) = g^{jl} \left\langle R(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^l}, X \right\rangle.$$

[†]It should be noted that there the definition of ρ_k^l is different from the definition in [Yam60], in which ρ_k^l is defined as $g^{ls} \rho_{sk}$, see section 1.

The Ricci tensor is

$$\mathbf{Rc}_{ik} = g^{hj} \mathbf{Rm}_{hijk}.$$

By (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{Rc}}_{ik} &= \bar{g}^{hj} \bar{\mathbf{Rm}}_{hijk} = g^{hj} g_{hl} \left(\mathbf{Rm}_{i^l jk} + \rho_{ij} \delta_k^l - \rho_{ik} \delta_j^l + g_{ij} \rho_k^l - g_{ik} \rho_j^l \right) \\ &= \mathbf{Rc}_{ik} + \rho_{ik} - n \rho_{ik} + \rho_{ik} - g_{ik} \rho_l^l \\ &= \mathbf{Rc}_{ik} - (n-2) \rho_{ik} - g_{ik} \rho_l^l. \end{aligned}$$

The scalar curvature is defined as

$$\mathbf{Rs} = g^{ik} \mathbf{Rc}_{ik}.$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{Rs}} &= \bar{g}^{ik} \bar{\mathbf{Rc}}_{ik} = \bar{g}^{ik} (\mathbf{Rc}_{ik} - (n-2) \rho_{ik} - g_{ik} \rho_l^l) \\ &= e^{-2\rho} (\mathbf{Rs} - (n-2) \rho_k^k - n \rho_l^l) \\ &= e^{-2\rho} (\mathbf{Rs} - 2(n-1) \rho_l^l). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it easy to verify that the Weyl's conformal curvature tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{i^l jk} &= \mathbf{Rm}_{i^l jk} + \frac{1}{n-2} \left(-\delta_j^l \mathbf{Rc}_{ik} + \delta_k^l \mathbf{Rc}_{ij} - g_{ik} \mathbf{Rc}_j^l + g_{ij} \mathbf{Rc}_k^l \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbf{Rs}}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left(\delta_k^l g_{ij} - \delta_j^l g_{ik} \right), \quad \mathbf{Rc}_j^l = g^{ls} \mathbf{Rc}_{sj}, \end{aligned}$$

is a conformal invariant.

For further results on conformal transformation we refer to [Eis97, Kul70a, Kul70b].

REFERENCES

- [Eis97] L.P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian geometry*, Princeton Univ Pr, 1997.
- [Kul70a] R.S. Kulkarni, *Curvature and metric*, Annals of Mathematics **91** (1970), no. 2, 311–331.
- [Kul70b] ———, *Curvature structures and conformal transformations*, J. Diff. Geom **4** (1970), 425–451.
- [Yam60] Hidehiko Yamabe, *On a deformation of riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Osaka Math. J. **12** (1960), no. 1, 21–37.

E-mail address: van141.abel@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTIC
SOUTHWEST UNIVERSITY
CHONGQING, CHINA 400715